

REMARKS

Claims 1-21 are pending in the application. In the Office Action dated Sept. 15, 2004, the Examiner objected to the Specification for failing to include a Summary of the Invention. Further, Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,289,333 ("Jawahar") in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,477,543 ("Huang"). Additionally, Claims 7-9, 11-14, and 16-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Jawahar. Finally, Claims 10 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Jawahar, U.S. Pat. No. 6,360,250 ("Anupam"), and *WAP Wireless Communication*, 5/11/99, pages 1-5 ("WWC").

In this Amendment, Claims 1-4, 7-9, 11-14, 16-17, and 21 have been amended and Claims 10, 15, and 18 have been cancelled. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections in light of the amendments to the claims and the following remarks.

I. Specification

The Examiner objected to the specification for failing to include a Summary of the Invention. Under MPEP § 601.01, a Summary of the Invention is not a requirement for a complete application. For example, MPEP § 608.01(d) discusses a Summary of the Invention "when set forth." Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to the specification.

II. The Proposed Combination of Jawahar and Huang Does Not Render the Currently-Claimed Invention Unpatentable

Independent Claims 1, 7, 13, 16, and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over a combination of Jawahar and Huang. Independent Claims 1, 7, 13, 16, and 21 are directed to a method for synchronizing web content between a plurality of mobile devices. The currently-claimed invention discloses a mobile device pushing a location of the web content to another mobile device so that the mobile device receiving the location of the web content can retrieve the web content independent of the first mobile device. Neither Jawahar or Huang disclose or suggest a

mobile device pushing a location of web content to another mobile device to synchronize web content among the mobile devices.

Jawahar discloses a method for enabling collaboration between a number of clients of dynamic resources. As admitted by the Examiner, Jawahar does not disclose or suggest synchronizing web content among a plurality of mobile devices. Like Jawahar, Huang also does not disclose ***synchronizing web content among a plurality of mobile devices.***

Huang discloses a method for ***synchronizing a mobile device with a server only.*** In Huang, the mobile device initiating the synchronization is seeking to synchronize itself with the server independent of any other mobile devices. Unlike the currently-claimed invention, the mobile device initiating the synchronization is not attempting to synchronize web content among any other mobile devices whatsoever. Therefore, due to the fact neither Jawahar or Huang disclose at least ***a mobile device pushing a location of web content to another mobile device to synchronize web content among the mobile devices,*** any combination of Jawahar and Huang necessarily cannot render the currently-claimed invention unpatentable.

III. The Proposed Combination of Jawahar, Huang, Anupam, and WWC Does Not Render the Currently-Claimed Invention Unpatentable

Like Jawahar and Huang, neither Anupam or WWC disclose or suggest ***a mobile device pushing a location of web content to another mobile device to synchronize web content among the mobile devices.*** Anupam teaches a system that allows users to access a server on the Internet to create a collaborative browsing session. However, Anupam does not disclose or suggest that the users in the collaborative browsing session may include ***mobile devices.*** Moreover, the collaborative browsing session does not operate by one user pushing a location of web content to another user.

WWC is a forum discussing WAP Wireless Communication in general and various WAP commands. WWC also does not disclose or suggest a mobile device pushing a location of web content to another mobile device to synchronize web content among a plurality of mobile users.

Due to the fact neither Jawahar, Hunag, Anupam, or WWC disclose at least ***a mobile device pushing a location of web content to another mobile device to synchronize web content among the mobile devices***, any combination of Jawahar, Hunag, Anupam, and WWC necessarily cannot render the currently-claimed invention unpatentable.

IV. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicant submits that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration is therefore respectfully requested. If there are any questions concerning this Response, the Examiner is asked to phone the undersigned attorney at (312) 321-4200.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Scott W. Brim", is written over a horizontal line.

Scott W. Brim
Registration No. 51,500
Attorney for Applicants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200